Electronically Filed Docket: 21-CRB-0001-PR (2023-2027) Filing Date: 05/16/2022 10:28:32 PM EDT

Before the COPYRIGHT ROYALTY BOARD LIBRARY OF CONGRESS Washington, D.C.

In the Matter of:

DETERMINATION OF RATES AND TERMS FOR MAKING AND DISTRIBUTING PHONORECORDS (Phonorecords IV) Docket No. 21-CRB-0001-PR (2023-2027)

COPYRIGHT OWNERS' STATEMENT OF SEARCH EFFORTS

Pursuant to the Copyright Royalty Judges' (the "Judges") May 2, 2022 Order Granting in Part and Denying in Part Services' Motion to Compel production of Documents (the "Order"), the National Music Publishers' Association and Nashville Songwriters Association International (together, "Copyright Owners") submit the following statement concerning Copyright Owners' search efforts complying with the Order.¹

The search efforts and status of production of each of the music publishers whose documents are subject to the Order are described below.

¹ Copyright Owners submit this "statement of search efforts" notwithstanding, for example, with respect to RFPs 37-48 and 51, Copyright Owners already made such affirmative statements where warranted (or produced documents on behalf of the identified publishers) as stated in Opposition to the Services' Motion to Compel Production of Documents. In addition, Copyright Owners note that the Judges have imposed this certification requirement solely on Copyright Owners, leaving the Services free to resist and delay production, even of materials they have been ordered to produce. This is despite the fact that Copyright Owners' production of documents, even before the Motions to Compel (as to which, in compliance with the Judges' Order, Copyright Owners produced in excess of another *quarter of a billion pages of documents*) had dwarfed the collective production of the Services, and which have continued to dribble out production months after it should have been fully completed, This is also despite the Services' well-documented history of refusing to discuss or disclose their searches in any way in this proceeding. *See* Opposition at 1 ("the Motion ignores that COs agreed to conduct reasonable and proportionate searches for responsive information, *which they did and confirmed in writing to the Services*") (emphasis added); *see also id.*, Exhibit A (identifying documents produced by peermusic and UMPG responsive to RFP 30 and 74, respectively). Copyright Owners are simply noting their concerns about the imbalance in both the discovery burdens that have been imposed and in the obligation to provide certifications of compliance.

BMG Rights Management (US) LLC ("BMG")

RFP 155

In order to identify documents responsive to this request, BMG queried its personnel in its

frontline creative, frontline publishing, investments, and finance departments, including the

managing executives responsible for each such department. In the normal course of its business,

BMG prepares a financial analysis of songwriter advances, called a "Deal Calculation Tool."

BMG personnel identified and collected (i) BMG's template "Deal Calculation Tool"; (ii) the

financial analyses for each of BMG's songwriter advances for 2017 and 2018; and (iii) BMG's

policies and best practices documentation for advance calculations, which is being produced today.

Additionally, for songwriter advances since 2018, BMG's processes have transitioned to an online

pipeline and approval process. At this time, while such information is not readily available in the

form requested (and hence may not be subject to production under the Order), BMG is nonetheless

working to identify a process to extract responsive data from this online tool for production.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

BMG personnel in its frontline creative, investments, global digital licensing, income

tracking and administration departments, including the managing executives responsible for each

department, were consulted in order to identify documents responsive to these requests. Such

consultations involved the contacted personnel relying on their personal recollection of their roles

and work performed, as well as manual searches of such personnel's network folders and e-mail

inboxes. Following such reasonable and proportionate searches, no responsive documents were

identified. Accordingly, BMG has no documents to produce responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

With respect to RFP 30, BMG's mergers & acquisition team maintains files on each closed

catalog acquisition. Such information typically includes (i) the seller's initial pitch deck (where

available); (ii) an investment valuation model; and (iii) an approval memorandum and asset

description. BMG's mergers and acquisitions department collected the catalog acquisition files

from its network shared drives, as well as provided the files to counsel for review for

responsiveness and compliance with any notice provisions of applicable non-disclosure

agreements. Responsive documents will be produced expeditiously after the completion of such

review and, should any information require notice, expiration of the applicable notice period to the

counterparty. Regarding RFPs 74 and 75, BMG has consulted with personnel who would be

responsible for discussing and/or analyzing competition, who confirmed that BMG does not have

documents responsive to these requests.

Kobalt Music Publishing America, Inc. ("Kobalt")

RFP 155

Kobalt personnel responsible for analyzing songwriter advances were consulted to identify

documents responsive to RFP 155, and such personnel ran a source report to identify the responsive

deals. Kobalt does not generate financial models and deal packs for every songwriter advance; it

only does so for material advances. Models and deal packs are kept in individual deal folders

across several network drives, and Kobalt generates over 500 models and deal packs a year, which

will likely amount to a production of over a thousand documents in response to this request. It is

estimated that the identification and extraction process, which is underway, will take

approximately three weeks, since a Kobalt employee must manually retrieve this information.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

Kobalt personnel responsible for licensing were consulted in connection with Kobalt's

efforts to identify additional documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Those personnel

confirmed, based on their personal knowledge and review of their own records, that they have no

further documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Accordingly, Kobalt has no further

documents to produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

Kobalt's process for identifying valuations with respect to catalog acquisitions and sales is

analogous to the process described above with respect to songwriter advances in response to RFP

155. Kobalt is identifying and producing responsive files. With respect to RFPs 74 and 75, Kobalt

finance personnel were consulted and, based on those consultations and a review of their files, a

very limited number of responsive documents have been identified and are being collected for

production.

peermusic

RFP 155

Peermusic personnel responsible for analyzing songwriter advances were consulted to

identify documents responsive to RFP 155. Such personnel identified every advance granted by

peermusic since 2017 and then provided copies of any deal analyses prepared in connection with

such transactions from the departmental files on such transactions. The person responsible for

preserving these records changed in 2020; however, records prior to 2020 were accessed by current

personnel and searched in response to this request. Deal analyses prepared by peermusic and

maintained in its deal files in connection with songwriter advances granted since 2017 are being

produced herewith.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

peermusic personnel responsible for being knowledgeable about peermusic's competitive

landscape, including its top executives, were consulted to identify documents responsive to RFPs

37-48 and 51. No peermusic personnel had any recollection or belief that such documents are

created or maintained in peermusic's normal course of business, nor were any documents located

by such personnel when they attempted to identify them. Accordingly, peermusic has no

documents to produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

Regarding RFP 30, peermusic personnel responsible for catalog acquisitions have

confirmed that there have been no US catalog acquisitions since 2017. Accordingly, peermusic

has no documents to produce in response to RFP 30. Regarding RFPs 74 and 75, peermusic

personnel responsible for being knowledgeable of peermusic's competition, which includes

peermusic's top executives, have confirmed that there are no documents or formal analyses

responsive to these requests. Two ad hoc email analyses responsive to these Requests were

identified and are be produced.

Round Hill Music

RFP 155

Round Hill Music personnel familiar with songwriter advances confirmed that Round Hill

does not use models or valuation analyses in connection with determining songwriter advances.

Songwriter advances are business decisions Round Hill makes on an ad hoc basis, and little to no

documentation is created or maintained outside of the fact that such advance being extended.

Accordingly, Round Hill Music has no documents to produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

Round Hill Music personnel responsible for licensing were consulted in connection with

Round Hill Music's efforts to identify additional documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51.

Those personnel confirmed, based on their personal knowledge, review of their records, and in

consultation with the synchronization licensing department, that Round Hill Music has no

documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Accordingly, Round Hill Music has no documents

to produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

Round Hill Music is identifying and producing valuation documents with respect to its

catalog acquisitions. Round Hill has invested in 102 deals since the beginning of 2017 and will

need to manually identify and extract responsive documents from Round Hill Music's systems. It

is estimated that this will take approximately two to three weeks. Regarding RFPs 74 and 75,

Round Hill personnel responsible for being knowledgeable of Round Hill's competition, including

its COO, have confirmed that Round Hill Music has no documents responsive to these requests

aside from those offering materials and financials relating to Round Hill Music previously

produced in response to other requests.

Sony Music Publishing ("SMP")

RFP 155

In order for SMP to identify documents responsive to RFP 155, personnel in SMP's

copyright department first generated a list of all U.S. songwriter deals from January 1, 2017

through December 31, 2020. This list was used by SMP's business development department to

identify any valuation analyses prepared in connection with advance transactions in its

departmental files. In addition, with respect to any advances that were made from SMP's Nashville

office, SMP employees in Nashville also used this list to identify responsive financial analyses.

Finally, personnel in SMP's Miami office, which is responsible for SMP's Latin writer advances,

identified responsive songwriter advances in their department files. It is estimated that the process

for identifying the responsive valuation analyses with respect to these advances will take

approximately three weeks, as the identification and collection process requires an individual to

manually search a network shared drive, identify the relevant files, and transfer them to a secure

location for production.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

SMP personnel responsible for licensing were consulted in connection with SMP's efforts

to identify additional documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Those personnel confirmed,

based on their personal knowledge and review of their own records, that they have no further

documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Accordingly, SMP has no further documents to

produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

SMP's process for identifying valuations with respect to catalog acquisitions and sales is

analogous to the process described above with respect to songwriter advances in response to RFP

155. SMP is identifying and producing responsive files, if any, from its New York, Nashville and

Miami offices. With respect to RFPs 74 and 75, SMP finance personnel confirmed that any

discussions and/or analyses responsive to these requests are reflected in documents already

produced in this proceeding as responsive to RFPs 18 and 19. See P4-SMP00001228; P4-

SMP00003266, 3270; P4-SMP00003209; P4-SMP00003026-7; P4-SMP00003077-8; P4-

SMP00002952.

<u>Universal Music Publishing Group ("UMPG")</u>

RFP 155

In connection with songwriter advance transactions, UMPG prepares deal summaries,

which include valuation analyses. UMPG's finance team identified and collected from UMPG's

deal approval system the deal summaries corresponding to all songwriter advances since 2017,

which are being produced herewith.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

UMPG personnel responsible for the licensing of mechanical rights were consulted to

identify information responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Those personnel confirmed, based on their

personal knowledge and review of their own records, that they have no further documents

responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Accordingly, UMPG has no further documents to produce in

response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

Regarding RFP 30, files concerning catalog acquisitions by UMPG are stored on a network

shared drive. Individual catalog acquisition transactions are organized in subfolders on the drive.

UMPG personnel identified responsive catalog acquisition transactions from UMPG's records,

which must be manually identified and collected from the network shared drive. This process is

underway and will be completed as expeditiously as possible. Non-disclosure agreements

concerning transactions for the collected files will be reviewed and, if required, notices will be

sent. Responsive documents will be produced if no notice is required or upon expiration of the

contractual notice period governing responsive documents. Regarding RFPs 74 & 75, UMPG

previously produced documents in response to RFP 74, as indicated in Copyright Owners'

Memorandum in Opposition. After the issuance of the Order, UMPG personnel in the finance

department were consulted to identify any analyses of private-equity and/or investor backed

entities responsive to RFPs 74 & 75. In response to those consultations, UMPG's finance team

identified an additional 17 documents, all of which originated outside of UMPG and are being

produced herewith.

Warner Chappell Music ("WCM")

RFP 155

WCM's deal office maintains a folder containing deal information, which contains models

and memoranda prepared in connection with deals with songwriters, including songwriter advance

transactions. This folder contains over 51,000 files and 5,061 subfolders. Based on initial searches

by WCM personnel, approximately 1,300 of the subfolders relate to individual artists or companies

and may be responsive to RFP 155. In order to identify information therein responsive to these

requests, WCM personnel must manually review these 1,300 subfolders to identify and retrieve

documents responsive to this request. Such efforts are currently underway.

RFPs 37-48 and 51

WCM personnel responsible for licensing were consulted in connection with WCM's

efforts to identify additional documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Those personnel

confirmed, based on their personal knowledge and review of their own records, that they have no

further documents responsive to RFPs 37-48 and 51. Accordingly, WCM has no further

documents to produce in response to these requests.

RFPs 30, 74 & 75

WCM stores information concerning its catalog acquisitions in the same folder maintained

by WCM's deal team described in response to RFP 155. As with RFP 155, WCM personnel will

need to manually review the deal office's network shared folder to identify and retrieve documents

responsive to this request, which efforts are underway. Regarding RFPs 74 & 75, WCM has

consulted its CFO, Head of Deals Office and Head of Business Development, in addition to its

Global Digital team, to identify documents responsive to these requests, if any. No responsive

documents have been located by these individuals, though limited, additional searches of their

documents continue as of the date of this Statement.

Dated: May 16, 2022

New York, New York

PRYOR CASHMAN LLP

Benjamin K. Semel Frank P. Scibilia

Donald S. Zakarin

Marion R. Harris

7 Times Square

New York, New York 10036

(212) 421-4100

bsemel@pryorcashman.com

fscibilia@pryorcashman.com

dzakarin@pryorcashman.com mharris@pryorcashman.com

Attorneys for Copyright Owners

Proof of Delivery

I hereby certify that on Monday, May 16, 2022, I provided a true and correct copy of the Copyright Owners Statement of Search Efforts to the following:

Apple Inc., represented by Mary C Mazzello, served via E-Service at mary.mazzello@kirkland.com

Spotify USA Inc., represented by Joseph Wetzel, served via E-Service at joe.wetzel@lw.com

Pandora Media, LLC, represented by Benjamin E. Marks, served via E-Service at benjamin.marks@weil.com

UMG Recordings, Inc., represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Johnson, George, represented by George D Johnson, served via E-Service at george@georgejohnson.com

Joint Record Company Participants, represented by Susan Chertkof, served via E-Service at susan.chertkof@riaa.com

Amazon.com Services LLC, represented by Joshua D Branson, served via E-Service at jbranson@kellogghansen.com

Sony Music Entertainment, represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Warner Music Group Corp., represented by Steven R. Englund, served via E-Service at senglund@jenner.com

Zisk, Brian, represented by Brian Zisk, served via E-Service at brianzisk@gmail.com

Google LLC, represented by Gary R Greenstein, served via E-Service at ggreenstein@wsgr.com

Powell, David, represented by David Powell, served via E-Service at

davidpowell008@yahoo.com

Signed: /s/ Marion R Harris